

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/617,260	Applicant(s) NAKAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner Kara E Geisel	Art Unit 2877	

All Participants:

(1) Kara E Geisel.

(2) William Kratz, attorney of record.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none.

Claims discussed:
1, 2, and 5

Prior art documents discussed:
none


Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A proposed examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance was discussed. See Office Action.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


ZANDRA V. SMITH
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)